

**HPNS Technical Team Meeting Agenda**  
**March 12, 2019, 1000-1100 PT**

1. Welcome and check-in

**Navy BRAC** – Steve Banister, Thomas Macchiarella, Derek Robinson, Paul Stoick, Liz Roddy

**Navy BRAC Consultants** – Craig Bias, John Hackett, Scott Hay, Kim Henderson, Kathy Higley, Alex Lopez

**RASO** – Zach Edwards, Matt Liscio, Matt Slack, Kimberly Noble, Kari Sommers

**EPA and consultants** – ~~Karla Brasaemle, John Chesnutt, Jana Dawson, Donna Getty,~~ David Kappelman, Lily Lee, ~~Lyndsey Nguyen,~~ Yolanda Sanchez, ~~Dante Rodriguez~~ (Lily introduced Dante as a new team member that will be assisting on Hunters Point)

**DTSC** – Nina Bacey

**CDPH** – ~~Shane Reese (taking over for Matt Wright),~~ Sheetal Singh, Matt Wright (remove from future calls)

**SFDPH and consultants** – Amy Brownell, Bob Burns, ~~Christina Rain, Dorinda Shipman,~~ Dustyne Sutherland

**Water Board** – Tina Low, ~~David Tanouye~~

2. Parcel G and background soil work plans and SAP

- Schedule and implementation sequence

- i. Paul indicated that he submitted an FFA extension request via email last week (2/14) to delay the final work plan date from 2/14/19 to 3/29/19 to resolve outstanding comments and this pushes the field start for background soil sampling to April/May and pushes the draft work plan addendum from 3/12/19 to 4/15/19. The work plan addendum is specific to Parcel G soil which will be conducted by Aptim. The addendum will include a SAP addendum, soil sorting operations plan, and air and dust monitoring plan. Lily requested a schedule and Paul indicated that the document tracking sheet will be updated to reflect the submittal dates and will be available at the BCT meeting on Thursday.
- ii. CH2M/Jacobs will be conducting the background soil sampling upon work plan approval. The Navy is planning to have CH2M/Jacobs conduct building surveys in Parcel G and some of the buildings are already contracted. The contractor for the remaining Parcel G buildings is TBD. The other Parcels will have their own comprehensive work plan, replicating the approach from the Parcel G work plan.
- iii. Navy and EPA management are still working on resolution for the work plan approach. Lily indicates that EPA agrees on the soil background and phased soil trench sampling approach. Based on the Five-Year Review comment resolution, EPA agrees on the pre-decisional deliberative draft soil RGs and that they are within the acceptable risk range with a LUC for homegrown produce. The outstanding issue is the appropriate triggers for action on buildings. Lily will be sending some additional comments on the background soil to Paul this week.

EPA management recommended partial approval to move forward with soil and continue to discuss buildings and a draft letter is with EPA HQ for review. Lily indicated that since buildings will be completed in less time than the soil, there should not be an overall delay in property transfer for Parcel G based on the FFA schedule. Thomas urged caution when reviewing the schedule based on continued delays.

- iv. Zach asked if EPA is also speaking for DTSC and CDPH and Lily indicated that although they communicate regularly, CDPH's framework is different and they will provide their own voice.
  - v. Once the Parcel G work plan addendum is approved, EPA can fast track review and approval. CDPH and DTSC will need to evaluate the timeframe needed for review based on the size of the addendum.
  - vi. Lily explained that for the buildings, there have been changes in EPA's risk model resulting in the need for more conservative RGs to be within the acceptable risk range. The RGs will influence the work plan on the sensitivity of levels that need to be met and what point cleanup is triggered for residential and indoor worker (industrial, construction, demolition) scenarios. Lily indicated that a post-ROD change may be needed for updating the building RGs dependent on cost, performance, and scope but that the change would not be known until data is collected. Thomas indicated that the Five-Year Review determines protectiveness and recommends actions (e.g., updating RGs, post-ROD changes) to ensure future protectiveness and Lily agreed.
3. **Findings reports** – Steve is taking the lead on the findings reports since Pat retired. The Navy has draft final versions of the buildings report and the Parcels B and G soil report for review. The Parcels D-2, UC-1, UC-2, and UC-3 soil report and Parcel C soil report are currently being prepared as draft finals to send to the Navy for review this month. EPA comments on Parcel E soil are pending. Lily has been assuming Parcel G work plan and Five-Year Review are the priorities and will review afterwards. Steve indicated that the Navy's goal is to finalize the reports as they may to be used as a tool on behalf of the government for litigation.
4. Next call scheduled for 4/9/19